



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,823	08/05/2004	JONATHAN GUIDRY	19.0355	4822
23718	7590	10/11/2006	EXAMINER	
SCHLUMBERGER OILFIELD SERVICES 200 GILLINGHAM LANE MD 200-9 SUGAR LAND, TX 77478			LO, SUZANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/710,823	Applicant(s) GUIDRY ET AL.	
	Examiner Suzanne Lo	Art Unit 2128	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08/05/04 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-29 have been presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-7, 11-13, 16-19, and 24-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,641 B1) in view of Banks ("Software for Simulation").

As per claim 1, Huang is directed to a method for displaying a bottom-hole assembly (BHA) (column 16, line 61 – column 7, line 21) comprising parsing and interpreting BHA source data to

Art Unit: 2128

produce data packets corresponding to BHA components (**column 10, lines 31-65**) but fails to disclose using vector graphics, assembling the BHA using vector graphics components in a vector graphics library, wherein the vector graphics components represent the BHA components; and selecting a scale and displaying the BHA at the selected scale.

Banks teaches assembling a simulation using vector graphics components in a vector graphics library (**page 32, Simple++**), wherein the vector graphics components represent the simulation components (**page 37, Section 6, Features 1, 2, and 5 and page 32, Simple++**); and selecting a scale and displaying the simulation at a selected scale (**page 37, Section 6, Feature 3**). It would have been obvious to an ordinary person skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the BHA display method of Huang with the simulation display method of Banks in order to allow the use of custom made environments (**Banks, page 37, Section 6, 1st paragraph**) to solve any discrete simulation problem (**Banks, page 31, Section 2, 1st paragraph**) including a BHA.

As per **claim 2**, the combination of Huang and Banks is directed to the method of claim 1, but does not explicitly disclose wherein the BHA source data are in a WITSML data file or a text file. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the BHA source data in a text file in order to provide input data in a standard format to allow compatibility with third party application.

As per **claim 3**, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the displaying further displays the BHA source data (**Huang, column 17, lines 6-8**).

As per **claim 4**, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 3, wherein the displayed BHA source data and the displayed BHA are in separate windows (**Huang, Figure 12 and accompanying text**).

As per **claim 5**, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the parsing and the interpreting the BHA source data further produce data corresponding to well

Art Unit: 2128

log data (**Huang, column 13, lines 3-38**), and the displaying further displays the data corresponding to the well log data (**Huang, column 16, line 61 – column 17, line 8**).

As per claim 6, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the well log data comprise at least one selected from the group consisting of a weight on bit, a rate of rotation, a rate of penetration, torques experienced by the BHA, drags experience by the BHA, shocks experienced by the BHA, and stresses associated with the BHA components (**Huang, column 13, lines 32-37**).

As per claim 7, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 5, wherein the well log data comprise at least one selected from the group consisting of gamma ray data, nuclear magnetic resonance data, formation resistivity data, formation porosity data, and formation type data (**Huang, column 9, lines 5-22**).

As per claim 11, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising animating the displayed BHA (**Banks, page 37, Section 6, 2nd paragraph**).

As per claim 13, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 12, wherein the information is included in the BHA source data (**Huang, column 16, line 61 – column 17, line 8 and Banks, page 37, Section 6, Feature 5**).

As per claim 16, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the parsing and the interpreting the BHA source data further produce data packets corresponding to a drill string that is attached to the BHA (**Huang, column 6, lines 26-44**), wherein the assembling further comprises assembling the drill string using vector graphics components that represent drill string components (**Banks, page 37, Section 6, 2nd paragraph**), and wherein the displaying further displays the drill string (**Huang, Figure 12 and accompanying text**).

As per claim 17, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 16, further comprising animating the displayed drill string and BHA (**Banks, page 37, Section 6, 2nd paragraph**).

As per claim 18, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 17, wherein the animating is based on information related to a well trajectory or time-versus-depth data (**Huang, column 16, line 61 – column 17, line 8 and Banks, page 37, Section 6, Feature 5**).

As per claim 19, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 18, wherein the information is included in the BHA source data (**Huang, column 16, line 61 – column 17, line 8 and Banks, page 37, Section 6, Feature 5**).

As per claim 24, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising displaying a borehole surrounding the BHA (**Huang, Figure 12 and accompanying text**).

As per claim 25, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 24, further comprising animating the displayed BHA along the borehole (**Banks, page 37, Section 6, 2nd paragraph**).

As per claim 26, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 24, wherein the borehole is displayed as cylinder sections (**Huang, Figure 12 and accompanying text**).

As per claim 27, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 26, the cylinder sections of the borehole are displayed in sequence to simulate a drilling process (**Huang, Figure 12 and accompanying text**). Displaying the cylinder sections of the borehole in sequence is inherent to animating the displayed BHA along the borehole.

As per claim 28, the combination of Huang and Banks already discloses the method of claim 27, further comprising animating the displayed BHA to simulate the drilling process (**Banks, page 37, Section 6, 2nd paragraph**).

As per claim 29, the combination of Huang and Banks is directed to a system for displaying a bottom-hole assembly (BHA) using vector graphics, comprising a processor and a memory, wherein the memory stores a program having instructions for steps of a method with the same limitations as claim 1 and is therefore rejected over the same prior art combination. A processor and memory is inherent to the method of displaying a BHA using vector graphics on a computer screen.

Claim 14 is not treated on merit.

3. **Claims 8-10, 15, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,641 B1) and Banks ("Software for Simulation") in further view of Landmark (PROFILE Technical Specification).**

As per claim 8, the combination of Huang and Banks is directed to the method of claim 1, but fails to disclose wherein the displaying further comprises displaying data corresponding to well log data, wherein the well log data are not included in the BHA source data. Landmark teaches displaying well log data which is not included in the BHA source data (page 1, Section "DIMS Integration", "graphical representation of important information....entered through the DIMS reporting system"). Huang, Banks, and Landmark are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, method for displaying a simulation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the BHA display method of Huang and Banks with the well log display method of Landmark in order to allow users to view the wellbore equipment configuration at any stage in the life of the well (Landmark, page 1, Section "DIMS Integration").

As per claim 9, the combination of Huang, Banks, and Landmark already discloses the method of claim 8, wherein the well log data comprise at least one selected from the group consisting of a weight on bit, a rate of rotation, a rate of penetration, torques experienced by the BHA, drags experience by the

Art Unit: 2128

BHA, shocks experienced by the BHA, and stresses associated with the BHA components (Huang, column 13, lines 32-37).

As per claim 10, the combination of Huang, Banks, and Landmark already discloses the method of claim 8, wherein the well log data comprise at least one selected from the group consisting of gamma ray data, nuclear magnetic resonance data, formation resistivity data, formation porosity data, and formation type data (Huang, column 9, lines 5-22).

As per claim 15, the combination of Huang and Banks is directed to the method of claim 12, but fails to specifically disclose wherein the information is streamed from a well logging operation. Landmark teaches information streamed from a well logging operation (page 1, Section “DIMS Integration”, “graphical representation of important information....entered through the DIMS reporting system”). Huang, Banks, and Landmark are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, method for displaying a simulation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the BHA display method of Huang and Banks with the information streaming method of Landmark in order to allow users to view the wellbore equipment configuration at any stage in the life of the well (Landmark, page 1, Section “DIMS Integration”).

As per claim 20, the combination of Huang and Banks is directed to the method of claim 18, but fails to specifically disclose wherein the information is not included in the BHA source data. Landmark teaches wherein the information is not included in the BHA source data (page 1, Section “DIMS Integration”, “graphical representation of important information....entered through the DIMS reporting system”). Huang, Banks, and Landmark are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, method for displaying a simulation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the BHA display method of Huang and Banks with the information streaming method of Landmark in order to allow users to view the wellbore equipment configuration at any stage in the life of the well (Landmark, page 1, Section “DIMS Integration”).

Art Unit: 2128

As per claim 21, the combination of Huang, Banks, and Landmark already discloses the method of claim 20, wherein the information is streamed from a drilling operation (Landmark, page 1, Section “DIMS Integration”, “graphical representation of important information....entered through the DIMS reporting system”).

As per claim 22, the combination of Huang and Banks discloses the method of claim 18, but fails to specifically disclose wherein the animating further displays data related to one selected from formation data, borehole data, and BHA data. Landmark teaches wherein animating displays data related to one selected from various data (Landmark, page 2, Section “Object picking”).). Huang, Banks, and Landmark are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor, method for displaying a simulation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the BHA display method of Huang and Banks with the display method of Landmark in order to allow users to view the wellbore equipment configuration at any stage in the life of the well (Landmark, page 1, Section “DIMS Integration”).

As per claim 23, the combination of Huang, Banks, and Landmark already discloses the method of claim 22, wherein the data selected from the formation data, the borehole data, and the BHA data is streamed from a drilling operation (Landmark, page 1, Section “DIMS Integration”, “graphical representation of important information....entered through the DIMS reporting system”).

Response to Arguments

4. Regarding the Information Disclosure Statement, Applicants have submitted a copy of GB 2,263,215A but still have not submitted a copy of GB 2,363,215 listed on the IDS filed on 04/01/05. The foreign patent document GB 2,363,215 has not been considered by the Examiner.
5. The objections of the specification have been withdrawn due to the amendments.

Art Unit: 2128

6. The 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejections of claims 12, 13, and 15 have been withdrawn due to the amendments.

7. The 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph rejection of claim 14 is maintained. As claim 14 is dependent on claim 13, the limitations of the method claim include wherein the information is included in the BHA source data *and* wherein the information is not included in the BHA source data. While paragraph 51 of the specification allows for data that may or may not be included in the source data so *either* the data is included *or* it is not, nothing is described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention where the data is included in the source data *and* is not included in the source data.

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art rejections of claims 1-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record is not relied upon because it is cumulative to the applied rejection.

These references include:

1. U.S. Patent No. 4,794,534 issued to Millheim on 12/27/88.
2. U.S. Patent No. 6,801,197 B2 issued to Sandstrom on 10/05/04.
3. U.S. Patent No. 6,760,665 B1 issued to Francis on 07/06/04.
4. U.S. Patent No. 7,003,439 B2 issued to Aldred et al. on 02/21/06.
5. U.S. Patent Application Publication 2003/0074139 by Poedjono on 04/17/03.

10. All Claims are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Lo whose telephone number is (571)272-5876. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-4:30.

Art Unit: 2128

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571)272-2297. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suzanne Lo
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2128

SL
09/23/06


KAMINI SHAH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER